

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/708,220	11/07/2000	Dan Kikinis	004688.P009	1136
52940 7:	590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER	
TODD S. PARKHURST			LONSBERRY, HUNTER B	
HOLLAND &			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ORN STREET			TATERNOMBER
30TH FLOOR	60603		2611	
CHICAGO, IL 60603			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/708,220	KIKINIS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hunter B. Lonsberry	2611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OD THIDTY (30) DAVS				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ine 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27, and 29-30</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
تــاره	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching or suggestion whatsoever concerning the ability to selectively and actually modify the overall appearance of an EPG using virtual worlds (amendment page 8,), in particular, that the Movie Back Lot interface is used as the entire interface (pages 9-10).

Regarding applicant's argument, Clanton discloses a plurality of virtual worlds (Figure 4, archive 71, critics café 92, poster wall 80) and a presentation engine which enables a user to choose a virtual world according to preference (column 8, lines 3-19, 48-column 9, line 64) each virtual world displays corresponding program guide information (user views information on pizza delivery services, merchant information, news, weather or sports programming) within the chosen virtual world (column 12, lines 10-26). The examiner notes that claim 1 is silent regarding the display of a different themed interface for each virtual world. The broadest possible reasonable interpretation of the term virtual world includes, different areas (archive 71, critics café 92, poster wall 80) each of which displays their own corresponding program guide information (VOD, pizza delivery services, merchant information, news, weather or sports programming) as taught by Clanton.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-15, 18-23, and 26-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,754,906 to Finseth in view of U.S. Patent 5,745,710 to Clanton.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Finseth discloses a 3d enabled electronic program guide (Figure 8a/b, column 16, lines 32-34), a user may select the style of the guide they wish to utilize (column 20, lines 57-59).

Finseth fails to disclose a plurality of virtual worlds, which are presented to the user for selection and a presentation engine, which enables a user to choose a virtual world according to preference and displaying program guide information within the chosen world.

Clanton discloses a plurality of virtual worlds (Figure 4, archive 71, critics café 92, poster wall 80) and a presentation engine which enables a user to choose a virtual world according to preference (column 8, lines 3-19, 48-column 9, line 64) each virtual world displays corresponding program guide information (user views information on pizza delivery services, merchant information, news weather or sports programming)

Art Unit: 2611

within the chosen virtual world (column 12, lines 10-26), an intuitive interface which is fun and interesting to use is provided (column 2, lines 30-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Finseth to utilize a plurality of words with corresponding program guide information, which a user may select as taught by Clanton, thus providing an intuitive interface, which is fun and interesting.

Regarding claims 2, and 21, Finseth discloses in figure 3, a receiver 64 on which the EPG is run (column 9, lines 59-65, column 11, lines 23-29).

Regarding claims 3 and 22, Finseth discloses that receiver 64 receives EPG information from an EPG data transmitting system (column 5, line 33-38, line 60-column 6, line 38). Finseth inherently includes drivers as drivers are required in order to communicate with a hardware device.

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 13, Clanton discloses a memory in the system, which contains a plurality of objects (column 7, lines 13-18), one class of objects providing the plurality of virtual worlds a user views (column 7, lines 13-18, column 10, lines 26-29).

Regarding claims 5, 15, and 23, Clanton discloses in figure 12, that a user may change channels during a movie (column 11, lines 29-44).

Art Unit: 2611

Clanton does not disclose a class of objects describing schedule times, including a channel id or title that may be converted into an actual channel number or program ID.

Finseth discloses a class of objects which includes descriptive language describing schedule times, thus class of objects having a channel id which may be converted into an actual channel number or program identification (column 7, lines 19-46, column 8, lines 22-34, figure 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the object classes of Clanton to include the descriptive objects of Finseth, thus enabling a user to readily identify a local channel by call sign and aide in the selection of programming.

Regarding claims 8, 18, and 26, Clanton is relied upon to teach that a virtual world is automatically selected to be presented by the presentation engine based on the program content selected by the user (a user selects an "extra" (program content) and the corresponding virtual world content is automatically rendered, column 12, lines 1-26, 46-50).

Regarding claims 9, 19, and 27, Clanton discloses a number of virtual worlds.

Clanton fails to disclose a virtual world displayed in a matrix of virtual boxes.

Finseth discloses in figure 7, a matrix of rectangular boxes, which a user may use to view future programming, the layout provides an intuitive interface as users are accustomed to reading from top to bottom (column 16, lines 14-19).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Clanton to utilize a matrix of rectangular boxes as taught by Finseth, thus providing an intuitive layout as viewers are accustomed to reading from top to bottom.

Regarding claim 12, Clanton discloses the use of STB 24 in figure 1 which includes a memory 54 (Figure 3, column 6, lines 35-39, column 7, lines 6-18).

Regarding claim 14, Clanton discloses that the virtual worlds contain a plurality of objects, each object linked to an item to display (column 8, lines 48-61, Figures 5 and 8).

Regarding claim 20, Finseth discloses a 3d enabled electronic program guide (Figure 8a/b, column 16, lines 32-34), a user may select the style of the guide they wish to utilize (column 20, lines 57-59)

A receiver 64 receives EPG information from an EPG data transmitting system (column 5, line 33-38, line 60-column 6, line 38). Finseth inherently includes drivers as drivers are required in order to communicate with a hardware device..

Finseth fails to disclose a plurality of virtual worlds and a presentation engine, which enables a user to choose a virtual world according to preference.

Clanton discloses a plurality of objects for selection (column 7, lines 13-18, column 10, lines 26-29, Figure 4, archive 71, critics café 92, poster wall 80)

Art Unit: 2611

a presentation engine, which enables a user to choose a virtual world according to preference (column 8, lines 3-19, 48-column 9, line 64), each virtual world displays corresponding program guide information (user views information on pizza delivery services, merchant information, news, weather or sports programming) within the chosen virtual world (column 12, lines 10-26), an intuitive interface which is fun and interesting to use is provided (column 2, lines 30-33).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Finseth to utilize a plurality of words that a user may select, each world displaying corresponding program guide information as taught by Clanton, for the advantage of providing an intuitive interface, which is fun and interesting.

Regarding claims 29-30, Clanton discloses that a user may choose a virtual world to display programming information (column 10, lines 34-43). Clanton inherently enables a programmer to choose a virtual world to display programming information, as a programmer is required to create the user interface and designate which module within the interface would carry and display the programming information.

3. Claims 6, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,754,906 to Finseth in view of U.S. Patent 5,745,710 to Clanton in further view of U.S. Patent 5,850,218 to LaJoie.

Regarding claims 6, 16, and 24, Finseth discloses an EPG.

Art Unit: 2611

Finseth and Clanton do not disclose that the object class containing the psuedo descriptive language included localized aspects.

LaJoie discloses an EPG Figure 16, in which a location station ID name 370 is associated with a channel number 372, a service table 103, utilized by the terminal identifies a channel source, and its corresponding descriptive information such as call sign or logo by referring to column 125 within table 103 (column 16, lines 29-51).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Finseth and Clanton to include localized information as taught by LaJoie, thus enabling a user to easily recognize a local channel by its station ID name.

4. Claims 7, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,754,906 to Finseth in view of U.S. Patent 5,745,710 to Clanton in further view of U.S. Patent 6,240,555 to Shoff.

Claims 7, 17 and 25, Finseth discloses in Figure 9, an operating menu 124 in which a user may purchase items through an electronic catalog (column 20, lines 23-30).

Finseth and Clanton fail to disclose a number of non-EPG objects including interaction objects used for e-commerce conflated with one or more virtual worlds.

Shoff discloses an EPG in which a user may activate an interactive mode (virtual world) which is thematically related to a television program, in Figures 8b/c, a user may

Art Unit: 2611

activate a button 220 which allows a user to open a merchandise catalog and order a product (column 10, lines 34-58, column 11, lines 3-11, 39-44, column 12, lines 7-23).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Finseth and Clanton to include the e-commerce mode of Shoff thus allowing a user to purchase products related to a virtual world, and providing an increased sales opportunity by taking advantage of a user's affinity to the virtual world.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hunter B. Lonsberry whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 09/708,220 Page 10

Art Unit: 2611

272-7298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HBL

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600